

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5708 of 2015

1. Bihar Rice Millers Association and Ors.
2. Ram Kumar Jha son of Late Kameshwar Jha, resident of Village-Siho, P.S.- Sakara, District-Muzaffarpur, proprietor Sri Ram Kutir Udyog, Muzaffarpur.
3. M/S Pawapuri Rice Mills, through its managing partner Dinesh Prasad Gupta, son of Sri Ram Chandra Sao, resident of Village-Pokharpur, P.O.- Pawapuri, P.S.-Giriyak, District-Nalanda.
4. Maa Shanti Rice Mill through Proprietor Ramesh Bhushan, son of Late Ram Sharan Prasad, Village-Jai Prakashpur, P.O.- Noorsarai, District-Nalanda Bihar.
5. Anand Singh, son of Shri Sheo Prasad Singh, resident of Village P.O.- Bishunpura, P.S.- Bihta, District-Patna, proprietor Balaji Rice Mill, Bajitpur, Bihta, Patna.
6. Anjani Kumar Sinha, son of Late Shiv Kumar Prasad, resident of Mohall-Kumhartoli, P.O. P.S. - Masaurhi, District-Patna, proprietor M/S Sinha Rice Mill, Station Road, Masaurhi, Patna.
7. Sharawan Kumar, son of Late Maghu Sao, resident of Village P.O. P.S.- Deep Nagar, District- Nalanda, proprietor Shiv Sagar Rice Mill Pvt. Ltd. Deep Nagar, Nalanda.
8. Rajiv Ranjan Kumar, son of Sri Ganesh Prasad, resident of Village-New Sidhouli, P.O.P.S.- Dalmia Nagar, District-Rohtas, Director Gupta Guptajee brothers Rice Mill Pvt., Ltd. At Bank P.S. Akhorigola, District-Rohtas.
9. Raman Kumar Jha, son of Late Giridra Mohan Jha, resident of Village-Nav toli P.O.- Dhakjhari, P.S.-Arer, District-Madhubani, proprietor M/S Maa Durga Rice Mill Pandaut Industrial Area, Madhubani.
10. Manish Kumar Jha, son of Sri Arun Kumar Jha, resident of Village-Mathili Tola, Koratbari, P.O.-Madhubani, P.S.-K.Hat, District- Purnea. Representative M./S Savitri Raghunath Agro Foods.
11. Shambhu Saran Gupta, son of Late Ram Prasad Gupta, resident of Village P.O.- Narhat, P.S. District-Nawada, proprietor Gupta Mini Rice Mill
12. Rajesh Kumar, son of Late Badari Singh, resident of Village P.O.-Kochgaon, P.S.- Warsaliganj, District-Nawada, proprietor Maa Shakati Rice Mill.
13. Dhananjay Singh, son of Sri Ram Bachan Singh partner of M/S Maa Saraswati Mini Modern Rice Mill, at Village- Berkap, P.S.-Dalmiyanagar, District-Rohtas.
14. Abhay Pratap Singh, son of Late Janardhan Pratap Singh, proprietor of M/S Durga Mini Modern Rice Mill at Village- Majhion, P.O. -Paruhar, P.S.- Darihat, District-Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar and Ors
2. The Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.



3. The Principal Secretary, Department of Food and Consumer Protection, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Director General of Police, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Inspector General of Police, Economic Offence Range, Government of Bihar, Patna.
6. The Inspector General, Headquarter, Government of Bihar, Patna.
7. The Additional Director General of police, special branch, Government of Bihar, Patna.
8. The Bihar State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation, Bihar, Patna through its Managing Director.
9. The Managing Director, Bihar State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation, Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	None
For the EOU	:	Mr.Vishwanath Prasad Sinha, Sr. Advocate Ms.Soni Shrivastava, Advocate
For the BSFC	:	Mr.Shailendra Kumar Singh
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Nagendra Prasad Yadav, SC-23 Mr.Madhvendra Ravi, AC to SC-23

**CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. G. ANUPAMA
CHAKRAVARTHY**

ORAL ORDER

11 08-05-2024 No representation for the petitioner.

2. In para 2 of the order dated 07.05.2024, in place of
“learned counsel for the respondent”, inadvertently, it was
typed as **“learned counsel for the petitioners”**.

3. Accordingly, in para 2 of the order dated
07.05.2024 in place of **“learned counsel for the petitioners”** it
be read as **“learned counsel for the respondent”** and the order
dated 07.05.2024 is modified to the extent as indicated above.

4. It is stated by the counsel for respondent appearing
for the Bihar State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation that the



writ application itself is not maintainable, as there is no infringement of right of the petitioners, as the petitioners challenged the internal letter issued by the Department of the District Magistrate.

5. On 07.05.2024, there was no representation for the petitioner and it was posted to 08.05.2024 (today) for giving one more fair opportunity to the petitioners. In spite of that today also, there is no representation for the petitioner. Therefore, the writ petition is dismissed for default and for non-prosecution.

(G. Anupama Chakravarthy, J)

vinita/-

U			
---	--	--	--

