

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5043 of 2024

1. Chandrasen Prasad Singh son of Late Sri Narayan Singh, resident of Village Dularpur Naya Tola, Ward No. 2, P.S. Teghra, District-Begusarai.
2. Amrendra Pathak, son of Late Gangadhar Pathak, resident of Village-Teyay Tolapar, P.S.-Bhagwanpur, District-Begusarai.
3. Umakant Jha, son of Late Ram Baran Jha, resident of Village-Teyay Tolapar, P.S.-Bhagwanpur, District-Begusarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Government of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, Patna.
2. The Additional Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Government of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, Patna.
3. The Special Director, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, Patna.
4. The Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Bihar, Patna through its Secretary.
5. The Chairman, Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Bihar, Patna.
6. The Secretary, the Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Bihar, Patna.
7. The District Education Officer, Samastipur, District-Begusarai.
8. The District Programme Officer, Buxar, District-Begusarai.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Suresh Kumar Ishwar
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Addl. Advocate General 7

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 08-04-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for the respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the case of the petitioners is fully covered by the judgment passed by this Court in L.P.A. No.43 of 2016 and analogous cases.

3. Considering the aforesaid facts, since the case of the petitioners stand on similar to that of the petitioners of L.P.A.



No.43 of 2016, there is no requirement to keep pending the present writ application.

4. Accordingly, petitioners are directed to file a detailed representation along with a copy of the order passed in L.P.A. No.43 of 2016, before the respondent no.8 within a period of four weeks and the respondent no.8 is well advised to consider the case of the petitioners and dispose of the representation of the petitioners after affording an opportunity of personal hearing or through their counsel and pass a reasoned and speaking order in accordance with law and in light of the order passed in L.P.A. No.43 of 2016 within ten weeks from the date of filing of such representation by the petitioners and also pay the claim/admitted dues of the petitioners.

5. The respondent no.8 shall be personally held responsible for non-compliance of this order.

6. With the aforesaid directions, this writ application stands disposed of.

7. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion with regard to the merits of the claim of the petitioners.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

shikha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

