

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.1211 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-32 Year-2017 Thana- MAHKAR District- Gaya

1. FULENDRA YADAV son of Late Teknarayan Yadav Resident of village- Dhanamahua, P.S- Mahakar, Distt- Gaya
2. Sudhir Yadav @ Sudhir Kumar Son of Sri Shivnth Yadav Resident of village- Dhanamahua, P.S- Mahakar, Distt- Gaya
3. Raju Yadav @ Raju Kumar @ Ramesh Kumar Yadav Son of Siyasharan Yadav Resident of village- Dhanamahua, P.S- Mahakar, Distt- Gaya
4. Vinod Yadav Son of Shivbachan Yadav Resident of village- Dhanamahua, P.S- Mahakar, Distt- Gaya
5. Sadhu Yadav @ Amaresh Kumar Son of Siyasharan Yadav Resident of village- Dhanamahua, P.S- Mahakar, Distt- Gaya
6. Mukesh Yadav Son of Fulendra Yadav Resident of village- Dhanamahua, P.S- Mahakar, Distt- Gaya
7. Sakendra Yadav Son of Late Teknarayan Yadav Resident of village- Dhanamahua, P.S- Mahakar, Distt- Gaya
8. Shivnath Yadav Son of Late Chhedhari Yadav Resident of village- Dhanamahua, P.S- Mahakar, Distt- Gaya
9. Sunil Kumar @ Sunil Kumar Yadav @ Sunil Yadav son of Shivnath Yadav Resident of village- Dhanamahua, P.S- Mahakar, Distt- Gaya
10. Rakesh Kumar @ Rakesh Yadav Son of Siyasharan Yadav Resident of village- Dhanamahua, P.S- Mahakar, Distt- Gaya

... .. Appellants.

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Kapil Paswan Son of Late Ram Prasad Paswan Resident of village- Dhanamahua, P.S- Mahakar, Distt- Gaya

... .. Respondents.

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Prithivi Raj Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Binay Krishna

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

4 24-01-2024 Heard learned counsel for the appellants and learned
Special Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. From perusal of record, it appears that vide order
dated 25.07.2017 passed in Cr. Appeal (SJ) No.1313 of 2017,



the prayer for anticipatory bail of the appellants was rejected by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court but the appellants chose not to surrender before the learned Court below and after long interval of time, they again renewed their prayer.

3. In view of the aforesaid, I am not inclined to entertain their prayer once again after a long interval.

4. Accordingly, this appeal is dismissed.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

Trivedi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

