

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.22912 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-121 Year-2023 Thana- INDUSTRIAL AREA District- Vaishali

REKHA SINHA WIFE OF RAMESH PRASAD SINHA R/O VILLAGE-  
CHANAURA, P.S.-KAKO, DISTT.-JEHANABAD AT PRESENT R/O  
MOHALLA- NAVRATANPUR, INDIRA NAGAR, P.S.-KANKARBAGH,  
DISTT.-PATNA

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Singh Arun Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Renu Kumari, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

4      28-06-2024

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Industrial Area P.S. Case no.121 of 2023 registered under sections 363, 341, 370, 372, 120B and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and sections 75, 80 and 81 of Juvenile Justice Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant states that on receiving secret information that a gang involved in sale and purchase of children would be coming in the Samrath Family Hotel, it is stated that the police reached there. Seeing the vehicle in question, it was surrounded and the accused persons including the petitioner herein were caught. A child was recovered from their possession.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case. No material has transpired against her in course of investigation. Several co-accused have been enlarged on bail by the learned trial Court. The petitioner is in custody since 22.8.2023 and has no criminal antecedent.

5. Heard learned A.P.P. for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the allegations in the F.I.R. according to which the petitioner along with others were caught at the place of occurrence along with a child for which the petitioner had no explanation, the Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and the application is rejected.

7. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to renew her prayer for bail after framing of charge.

8. In case some of the accused as stated by the petitioner are absconding and the petitioner by filing an application prays for splitting her trial, the same shall be considered by the learned trial Court in accordance with law.

**(Partha Sarthy, J)**

Saurabh/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

