

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16392 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-70 Year-2015 Thana- KHAGARIA COMPALINT CASE  
District- Khagaria

=====

Guddu Kumar Son of Jivan Das Resident of Vill.-Pipra, P.S.-Chautham,  
Distt.-Khagaria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amar Kumar Singh, Adv  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mukesh Kumar Singh, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH  
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2      13-03-2024                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The Petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Excise Complaint Case No. 70C3/ of 2015 of the offences punishable u/s sections 47(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act 2016.

3. As per the prosecution case, total 35 litres of illicit country made liquor was recovered.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner has three criminal cases as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The name of the petitioner was disclosed by the informant. The recovery has been made from



an open place which is accessible to anyone. No incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, hence no case is made out. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the nature of allegation against the petitioner, let the above named petitioners, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned,



Khagaria in connection with Excise Complaint Case No. 70C3/  
of 2015 subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2)  
of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

7. This application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

U  
T

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

