

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15086 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-354 Year-2023 Thana- SULTANGANJ District- Patna

Akka S/o Md. Kallu R/o Mohalla - Dargarh Road, Karbala, P.S. - Sultanganj,  
Distt. - Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shakil Ahmad Khan, Adv

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajesh Kumar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH  
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2      07-03-2024                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The Petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Sultanganj P.S. Case No. 354 of 2023 dated 23.07.2023 of the offences punishable u/s 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act 2018.

3. As per the prosecution case, total 423.36 litres of illicit foreign liquor was recovered from the *pick-up van*.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner has one criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is not the owner of the said vehicle and the same was not being driven by the petitioner



at the time of the alleged recovery. The name of the petitioner was disclosed by the local people. No incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, hence no case is made out. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the nature of allegation against the petitioner, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like



amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned,  
Patna City, in connection with Sultanganj P.S. Case No. 354 of  
2023 subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of  
the Code of Criminal Procedure.

7. This application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

U  
T

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

