

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16143 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-42 Year-2021 Thana- RIGA District- Sitamarhi

Ravi Rouniyar @ Ravi Kumar @ Amrendra Kumar S/o Sitaram Sah R/o Vill -
Bhavdepur Got (Bhabdepur), P.S. - Riga, Dist. - Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ritesh Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Dr. Mrityunjaya Kr.Gautam, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 26-04-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as
learned APP for the State.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner had moved earlier seeking regular bail by filing Cr.
Misc. No.788 of 2023 and the same was rejected by an order
dated 29.04.2023 on the ground that petitioner has antecedent of
ten cases, but then liberty was granted to the petitioner to renew
his prayer for bail after framing of charge.

3. The learned counsel submits that petitioner in terms
of the liberty has approached this Court. It is next submitted that
charges against the petitioner was framed by an order dated
11.07.2022, but then the said fact could not be brought to the
notice of the Court in Cr. Misc. No.788 of 2023 when the same
was taken up on 29.04.2023.

4. The learned APP submits that since charges have



been framed on 11.07.2022, as such the trial has commenced and since the trial has commenced and that too about more than an year and a half back the learned Trial court should be directed to expedite the trial instead of granting bail as petitioner has antecedent of ten cases.

5. Considering the submission made by the learned APP the Court is not inclined to release the petitioner on bail, accordingly, the bail application is rejected.

6. However, the learned trial court shall try to expedite the trial.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Prakash Narayan

U		T	
---	--	---	--

