

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15940 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-311 Year-2023 Thana- KASIMBAZAR District- Munger

Suddi Kumar @ Arjun Sah @ Arjun Kumar Son Of Shravan Sah @ Shawan Sah Resident Of Mohalla - Betwan Bazar, Argara Road, P.S. - Kasim Bazar, District - Munger

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Sobha Devi Wife Of Late Ravi Raut Resident Of Mohalla - Betwan Bazar, Argara Road, P.S. - Kasim Bazar, District - Munger

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Manoj Kumar Jha
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Binay Krishna

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 16-05-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Kasim Bazar PS Case No. 311 of 2023 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 504, 506, 354A, 354B, 509 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 8/12 of POCSO Act and Section 3(i)(r)(s)(w)(1)/3(2)(va) of the SC/ST (POA) Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that petitioner runs a grocery shop and the informant used to purchase household articles from



the shop of the petitioner and there were some dues and when the daughter of the informant came to purchase household articles, the petitioner demanded his dues, on which an altercation took place and thereafter the mother of the victim also came and instituted the instant case after two days.

4. The learned APP along with learned counsel for the informant opposes the anticipatory bail application and the learned counsel for the informant submits that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that it was not petitioner alone who acted inappropriately with the daughter of the informant rather he was accompanied by two more accused persons and petitioner has antecedent of five cases. It is also submitted that no mother would institute a false FIR maligning her own daughter for some monetary dues.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the informant, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application is dismissed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

SUMIT/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

