

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19173 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-182 Year-2023 Thana- RAGHOPUR District- Supaul

BRADI DAS @ BADRI TANTI @ BADRI DAS SON OF LATE MAHA
TANTI RESIDENT OF VILLAGE - TETARAHI, WARD NO.3, P.S. -
PIPRA, DISTRICT - SUPAUL

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar
For the State : Mrs. Anita Kumari

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 28-03-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Raghapur P.S. Case No. 182 of 2023, dated 04.05.2023 for the offences punishable under Sections 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise (Amendment) Act, 2018.

3. As per prosecution case, total 375 litres of illicit foreign liquor was recovered from a motorcycle.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case. The name of the petitioner has transpired in this case because he is the owner of the said motorcycle but the same was not being driven by the petitioner at the time of



alleged recovery. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. No incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, hence no case is made out against the petitioner. Learned counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of ***Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089***. The Full Bench in the case of ***Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)*** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76(2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the nature of allegation against the petitioner, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the



like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Supaul in connection with Raghapur P.S. Case No. 182 of 2023, subject to conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Ranjeet/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

