

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5015 of 2024

-
1. Amar Paswan S/o Late Amirak Paswan, Resident of Village- Bhagwanpur, Post, Block and P.S. Pakribrawan, District- Nawada.
 2. Pradeep Rajak, S/o Chamru Rajak, Resident of Village- Pakribrawan, Post, Block and P.S. Pakribrawan, District- Nawada.
 3. Ram Ratan Kumar, S/o Kishun Prasad, Resident of Village- Pakribrawan, Post, Block and P.S. Pakribrawan, District- Nawada.
 4. Md. Mahbub Alam S/o Md. Reyasat Alam Resident of Village- Paroriya, Block- Meskaur, P.S.- Sirdala, District- Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Home Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Inspector General of Home Guard, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Divisional Director General of Home Guard, Magadh Division, Gaya.
4. The Divisional Commissioner, Home Guard, Magadh Division, Gaya.
5. The Commandant, Home Guard, Nawada.
6. The District Magistrate, Nawada.
7. The Superintendent of Police, Nawada.
8. The District Welfare Officer, Nawada.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sheo Kumar Prasad, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Abbas Haider, SC-6

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 18-04-2024 1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following relief(s):-

“1.(i) For issuance of appropriate writ in the nature of mandamus commanding and directing the Respondent Authority to produce the final cut off list of Home Guard selection against the Advertisement No. 01/2009 published under the signature of the Respondent authority further



informing that the cut off marks upon which selection has been made Block wise.

(ii) For further direction to the Respondent authority to issue appointment letter to the petitioners for the post of Home Guard against the Advertisement No. 01/2009 wherein the petitioners have applied, appeared in the test and has been indicated to be obtained highest marks i.e. 15 marks but despite of final selection the appointment letter has not been issued to the petitioners.

(iii) For further direction to the Respondent authority to hold an inquiry with regard to the illegality made in the final selection of Home Guard in the district of Nawada and after holding inquiry take appropriate decision against the Respondent concerned.”

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioners seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioners to approach the respondent-authorities for redressal of their aforesaid grievances. Liberty so sought is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

sonal/-

U			
---	--	--	--

