

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3760 of 2024

=====

Girindra Mohan Mishra Paramhans son of Late Hari Kant Mishra, resident of Ward no.11, Village- Bhagwanpur Kamla, P.S.-Ujiyarpur, District-Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary/ Additional Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, Patna.
2. The Special Director, Secondary Education, Incharge Sanskrit Education, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, Patna.
3. The Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Bihar, Patna through its Secretary.
4. The Chairman, Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Bihar, Patna.
5. The Secretary, the Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Bihar, Patna.
6. The District Education Officer, Samastipur, District- Samastipur.
7. The District Programme Officer, Establishment, Samastipur, District-Samastipur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Suresh Kumar Ishwar
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Government Pleader (17)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 22-03-2024 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the issued raised in the present writ application is squarely covered by the decision of this Court in C.W.J.C. No.985 of 2015 dated 27.03.2019.

3. This petitioner has also been deprived of the benefits to which he was entitled by the impugned resolution dated 31st of August, 2013 which had already been quashed to the extent as indicated in the judgment dated 27.03.2019 passed in C.W.J.C.



No.985 of 2015 by a Division Bench of this Court. Therefore, the petitioner is also entitled to the same benefits on the same terms.

4. In that view of the matter, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to file a fresh representation before the respondent no.7 along with a copy of this order and the judgment dated 27.03.2019 passed in C.W.J.C. No.985 of 2015 and the respondent no.7 are well advised to consider the case of the petitioner and grant the same benefits including the arrears within a period of three months from the date of filing of such representation.

5. It goes without saying that respondent no.7 shall be responsible for non-compliance of this order within the stipulated period.

6. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion with regard to the merits of the claim of the petitioner.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

Trivedi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

