

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2918 of 2024

=====

Bhagwan Sharan Ray @ Harendra Singh S/o Late Amarnath Ray Resident of
village- Banjari Nawka Tola, Post and P.S.- Gopalganj, District- Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Additional Chief Secretary Revenue Department,
New Secretariat Govt. of Bihar.
2. The Collector- Cum- District Land Acquisition Officer, Gopalganj.
3. The Additional Collector -Cum- Enquiry Officer, Gopalganj.
4. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Gopalganj.
5. The Circle Officer, Gopalganj, District- Gopalganj.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Ranjan Kumar Dubey, Advocate Mr. Kumar Gaurav, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. A.K. Dubey, AC to AAG-9

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 19-06-2024 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner has prayed for the following reliefs:

*(i) for issuance of writ in the nature
of certiorari for quashing the Memo
No. 520 dated 3.10.2023 issued
under the signature of Land
Acquisition Officer, Gopalganj by
which he has refused the claim of
petitioner on the ground that Award
has been prepared;*

(ii) for issuance of writ in the



nature of command directing the authority under the act to fix the compensation of the land of petitioner as per the prevalent commercial rate/value in the area as notified by the State Govt. itself and pay the same to the petitioner forthwith along with interest thereon;

(ii) for issuance of writ in the nature of command directing the Land Acquisition Officer to re-examine the case of petitioner and fix the compensation accordingly;

(iii) for any other relief/reliefs for which the petitioner deemed entitled to.

3. Learned State Counsel pointed out that the matter has already been decided and now that the petitioner is challenging the category so decided, he should have approached the appropriate authority instead of filing representation before the same office (respondent no.2).



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he will be filing appropriate petition before the appropriate authority but there has been some delay.

5. In that background, the writ petition stands disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to approach the appropriate authority for deciding the category of his land. If the same is filed within four weeks from today, the delay in filing the petition shall be condoned taking into account that the petitioner had preferred the writ petition before this Court.

6. The writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

