

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3509 of 2024

=====

Amit Kumar son of Sri Mahesh Prasad, Resident of - Kotwali Chauk, Ward
No. 42, P.O. Bhauada, P.S. - Town, District - Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Urban Development and Housing
Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Additional Director General of Police Vigilance Investigation Bureau,
Bihar, Patna.
4. The Special officer on duty Urban Development and Housing Department,
Bihar, Patna.
5. The Divisional Commissioner, Darbhanga Commissionery, Darbhanga.
6. The District Collector, Madhubani.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Maya Shankar Mishra, Advocate Mr. Nagendra Upadhyay, Advocate Mr. Nagadeo Choubey, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. P.K. Shahi, Advocate General

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 23-02-2024

The above Public Interest Litigation seeks legal action
against the guilty government officers and employees of Nagar
Parishad, Madhubani, which is now a Municipal Corporation. It
is pertinent that none of these officers have been impleaded in
the above writ petition.

2. It is asserted in the writ petition that there were
financial irregularities committed against which an inquiry was
constituted with a team of five members. The District Public



Grievance Redressal Officer, who was the Chairman of the investigation team, it is stated, filed Annexure-2 report specifically on 43 points, raised by the petitioner wherein he has found defalcation of amounts.

3. There are also other persons named in the writ petition, none of whom are impleaded in the writ petition.

4. Broad allegations are made based on an Inquiry Report which is also dated 25.03.2023. No precipitate action can be directed to be taken in the above writ petition filed as a Public Interest Litigation; without any of the parties against whom allegations are raised in the party array. Even the Municipal Corporation has not been made an *eo nomine* party in the writ petition.

5. We find absolutely no reason to entertain the writ petition and dismiss the same.

(K. Vinod Chandran, CJ)

(Harish Kumar, J)

P.K.P./-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	27.02.2024
Transmission Date	

