

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6708 of 2021

=====

Dilip Kumar Chaudhry, Son of Late Naresh Prasad Chaudhary, Resident of Chandmari Road, Kankarbagh, Police Statipm Kankarbagh Dist.-Patna. At Present. Ro- Briyarpur Bazzar, Police Station Bariyarpur Dist- Munger.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Humane Resource Department Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Primary Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Education Superintendent, Lakhisarai, Dist.-Lakhisarai
4. The District Programme Officer, Establishment, Lakhisarai.
5. Anjani Kumar Chaudhari, Son of Late Naresh Prasad Chaudhari, R/o- Bariyarpur Bazaar, Police Station Bariyarpur Dist- Munger.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Madhaw Prasad Yadav, GP-23

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 15-07-2024

None appears on behalf of the petitioner. Mr. Madhaw Prasad Yadav, learned G.P.-23 is present.

2. Earlier also when the matter was taken up on 18.03.2024 and 22.04.2024 there was none to represent the petitioner.

3. The grievance of the petitioner is confined to payment of G.P.F. amount of his mother, who superannuated from the post of Assistant Teacher on 30.06.2001 and subsequently died on 15.01.2021.

4. The counter affidavit filed on behalf of respondents no.4 suggests that the answering respondent vide



letter no. 1637 dated 09.12.2021 had asked the petitioner to furnish the death certificate of his parents, genealogical certificate issued by the competent authority, Aadhar, PAN and Bank details of his brother and sisters, so that the District Provident Fund Officer, Lakhisarai could be asked to issue the Authority Letter for payment of GPF amount with up to date interest to all legitimate heirs of the erstwhile employee in equal shares.

5. Considering the fact that necessary documents were asked for to redress the grievance, but there is none to assist the Court on behalf of the petitioner, this Court is left with no option but to dismiss the writ petition for want of prosecution. Accordingly, the same is dismissed for want of prosecution.

6. The dismissal of the writ petition would not come in way of the petitioner to submit necessary documents, if not submitted till date, for redressal of his grievance.

(Harish Kumar, J)

uday/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	18.07.2024
Transmission Date	NA

