

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15227 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-688 Year-2022 Thana- BODHGAYA District- Gaya

Ayodhya Pandit son of Sidheshwar Pandit Village- Hathiawn Ps- Mahakar
Dist- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Syed Asgher Najmi, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Kumar Veerendra Narayan, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER**

5 13-05-2024 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Bodhgaya P.S. Case No. 688 of 2022 registered under Sections 406, 420 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per prosecution case, petitioner is alleged to have received Rs. 10,00,000/- by way of cheque from the informant for the purpose of sale of land but did not execute the sale deed.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner is ready to pay Rs. 10,00,000/- without admitting the allegation.

5. Considering the aforesaid contention made on behalf of the petitioner, this anticipatory bail is allowed and it is ordered that let the above named petitioner in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of six



weeks from today, be enlarged on bail, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gaya in connection with Bodhgaya P.S. Case No. 688 of 2022, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr. P.C. with direction to the petitioner that :-

i. Petitioner shall deposit Rs. 3,00,000/- (Three lac) in the *Nazarat* and will produce the receipt of the same at the time of furnishing bail-bond in the Court below and thereafter, rest amount i.e. Rs. 7,00,000/- (Seven lac) shall be deposited in four equal installments within one year in the *Nazarat*, failing which, the learned Court below would be at liberty to cancel the bail-bond.

6. It is made clear that this order has been passed only for the purpose of bail, without going into the merit of the case, and payment of aforesaid amount shall be subject to the final outcome of the case.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

utkarsh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

