

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6545 of 2021

- 1.1. Jamratan Khatoon, Wife of Late Md. Kitabuddin Resident of Village + P.O.- Kerwan, P.S.- Ishuapur, District- Saran at Chhapra.
- 1.2. Md. Abbas Son of late Md. Kitabuddin Resident of Village + P.O- Kerwan, P.S.- Ishuapur, District- Saran at Chhapra.
- 1.3. Akhtar Parwez Son of Late Md. Kitabuddin Resident of Village + P.O- Kerwan, P.S.- Ishuapur, District- Saran at Chhapra.
- 1.4. Javed Miandad Son of Late Md. Kitabuddin Resident of Village + P.O- Kerwan, P.S.- Ishuapur, District- Saran at Chhapra.
- 1.5. Ajbun Khatoon Wife of Shahadat Hussain Resident of Village- Asaiyan, P.O. and P.S.- Marhaurah, District- Saran at Chhapra.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Primary Education, Patna.
4. The Director, Secondary Education, Patna.
5. The Regional Deputy Director of Education, Saran at Chhapra.
6. The District Education Officer, Saran at Chhapra.
7. The District Programme Officer (Establishment) Saran at Chhapra.
8. The District Provident Fund Officer, Muzaffarpur.
9. The District Education Officer, Muzaffarpur.
10. The District Education Officer, Vaishali.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Madhaw Prasad Yadaw, GP- 23

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

8 20-08-2024 None appears on behalf of the petitioners.

2. Earlier also when the matter was taken up on 17.05.2024, there was none to represent on behalf of the petitioners.



3. The grievance of the petitioners is confined to payment of provident fund amount of the erstwhile employee for the period 04.03.1975 to 15.07.1981, which was deducted from the salary of the employee, while he was working as an Assistant Teacher in Primary School, Sandalpur-II, Block Amnour, Saran.

4. Learned Advocate for the State submits that the claim of the petitioners is quite stale and if the grievance of the petitioners has not been redressed, they may approach before the respondent nos. 7 and 8.

5. Be that as it may, considering the fact that there is none to represent the petitioners, the writ petition stands dismissed for non-prosecution with liberty to the petitioners to approach before the respondent nos. 7 and 8, who shall consider their claim and take appropriate action.

(Harish Kumar, J)

uday/-

U			
---	--	--	--

