

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.10806 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-454 Year-2017 Thana- FATUA District- Patna

1. JAI PRAKASH SINGH @JAI PRAKASH SINGH YADAV Son of Late Prabhas Singh Resident of Village - Bhikhua, Police Station - Fatuha, District - Patna.
2. Raj Babbar Kumar Son of Jai Prakash Singh @ Jai Prakash Singh Yadav Resident of Village - Bhikhua, Police Station - Fatuha, District - Patna.
3. Santosh Yadav @ Santosh Kumar @ Sanjay Kumar Son of Jai Prakash Singh @ Jai Prakash Singh Yadav Resident of Village - Bhikhua, Police Station - Fatuha, District - Patna.
4. Usha Devi wife fo Jai Prakash Singh @ Jai Prakash Singh Yadav Resident of Village - Bhikhua, Police Station - Fatuha, District - Patna.
5. Murti Kumar @ Murti Kumari Daughter of Jai Prakash Singh @ Jai Prakash Singh Yadav Resident of Village - Bhikhua, Police Station - Fatuha, District - Patna.
6. Ruby Devi Wife of Ram Pravesh Prasad Resident of Village- Hurari, P.S.- karayparshiray, District - Nalanda.
7. Ram Pravesh Prasad Son of Late Haricharan Prasad Resident of Village- Hurari, P.S.- karayparshiray, District - Nalanda.
8. Beby Devi Wife of Ramanand Yadav Resident of Co- Operative Colony, P.S. - Fatuha, District - Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Rubi Devi Wife of Santosh Yadav Resident of Bhikhua, Police Station - Fatuha, District - Patna, Presently resident of Ramadhin Road, Sahadra, P.S. - Malsalami, District - Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Raj Kumar, Advocate Mr. Vijay Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Umeshanand Pandit, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 28-03-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr. Umeshanand Pandit, learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. After some arguments, learned counsel for the



petitioners seeks permission to withdraw this quashing application, granting liberty to the petitioners to raise all the points which have been raised in the present application, before the learned court below, at the appropriate stage.

3. Permission is accorded.

4. This quashing application stands dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as indicated above.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Navya/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

