

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17619 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-20 Year-2023 Thana- SHANKARPUR District- Madhepura

Rakesh Kumar Son of Jitan Yadav @ Jitendra Yadav, Resident of Village- Navtoliya Shankarpur, Ward No. 14, Police Station- Shankarpur, District- Madhepura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Uday Chand Prasad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Mushtaque Alam, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      19-04-2024                      Heard Mr. Uday Chand Prasad, the learned counsel  
  
for the petitioner and Mr. Md. Mushtaque Alam, the learned  
  
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2.                      Petitioner seeks regular bail who is in custody since  
  
27.03.2023 in connection with Shankarpur P.S. Case No. 20 of  
  
2023, FIR dated 01.02.2023, registered for the offences  
  
punishable under Sections 386, 384, 504 and 506 of the Indian  
  
Penal Code and under Section 27 of Arms Act.

3.                      Earlier the petitioner has moved before this  
  
Hon'ble Court in Cr. Misc. No. 44931 of 2023, which was  
  
rejected vide order dated 28.08.2023.

4.                      According to the prosecution case, one Rakesh  
  
Kumar along with unknown accused persons entered into the



shop of the informant and on gunpoint demanded Rs. 1,00,000/- (Rupees one lakh) as *rangdari* and also took Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees twenty-five thousand) cash from informant's pocket.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case and petitioner has not demanded any ransom from the informant.

6. Vide order dated 01.03.2024 a report was called for with regard to the stage of the trial and report dated 09.04.2024 of the learned trial Court reveals that charge has been framed against the petitioner on 18.03.2024 and case is pending for the examination of the witnesses.

7. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State on the other hand has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that petitioner carries four criminal antecedents other than the present one, however, he fairly admits that the petitioner is on bail in the pending matters.

8. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and the report of the learned trial Court as well as the allegation in the FIR and the criminal antecedents of the petitioner, I am **not** inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with Shankarpur P.S. Case No. 20 of 2023, pending in the Court of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-I, Madhepura.



9. Prayer is refused.
10. However, the learned trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same at the earliest.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Shahnawaz/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

