

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.12071 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-338 Year-2023 Thana- UDAKISHUNGANJ District-
Madhepura

=====

Shayamal Thakur S/O Bijendra Thakur R/O Village- Uda, Ward No.-02,
Paschim Tola, P.S- Udakishunganj, Distt.- Madhepura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The state of bihar bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nishant Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Yogendra Kumar Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

3 18-04-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Udakishunganj P.S. Case No. 338/2023 , lodged on 01.11.2023
under Sections 25(1-B)a,25(1AA),25(1-A),26,(1)(2),35 of Arms
Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the F.I.R. has been
lodged against the present petitioner with an allegation that he is
the manufactured of illegal arms.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. Learned
counsel further submits that nothing has been recovered from
the conscious possession of the petitioner, but merely on the



basis of suspicion, the petitioner has been made accused in the present case . The antecedent of the petitioner is clean and he is in custody since 02.11.2023.

5. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that the petitioner is alleged to be manufacturer of illegal arms.

6. Upon specific query from the counsel for the petitioner whether the charge has been framed or not, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he is not aware about the fact whether the charge has been framed or not.

7. In the present facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner in connection with Udakishunganj P.S. Case no. 338/2023, pending before the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate Madhepura, is hereby rejected.

9. However, the petitioner may renew his prayer for bail after three months of framing of charge.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Ashwini/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

