

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.11660 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-660 Year-2023 Thana- PURNIA COMPLAINT CASE District-
Purnia

=====

Karim @ Karim Nadaf Son of Late Samir Nadaf Resident of Gram Kateli,
P.S.- Jalalgarh, District- Purnea.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Shabnam Khatoon Wife of Karim Nadaf @ Karim, D/o Wajir Ansari
Resident of Muradabad, P.S.- Kasba, District- Purnea.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Ajit Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Pramod Kumar Pandey, APP
For Opposite Party No.2	:	N o n e

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

4 19-06-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. Despite valid service of notice, nobody appears on
behalf of complainant/Opposite Party No. 2.

3. The petitioner, husband of the
complainant/Opposite Party No. 2, apprehends his arrest in a
complaint case registered for the offence punishable under
Sections 506, 323, 352, 120B, 498A and 34 of the Indian Penal
Code.

4. As per the prosecution case, this petitioner is
alleged to have committed torture and harassment upon the
complainant/Opposite Party No. 2 due to non-fulfillment of



demand of dowry.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, while denying the allegations made in the complaint petition, submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case merely because he is husband of the complainant/Opposite Party No. 2. However, it is submitted that the petitioner is ready to keep the complainant/Opposite Party No. 2 at her matrimonial house with honour and dignity. It is further submitted that the case is triable by the Magistrate. In this connection, learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon the judgment of this Hon'ble Court in the case of *Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar*, reported in *2006(3) PLJR 182*. Petitioner claims clean antecedents.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is allowed.

7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest or surrender within six weeks from today, let the petitioner, as named above, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Purnea,



in connection with Complaint Case No. 660 of 2023, subject to the conditions, as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

