

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14780 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-407 Year-2023 Thana- MADHUBAN District- East Champaran

Ramanand Manjhi Son of Late Rajindra Manjhi R/o- Lahladpur Mushar Toli,
P.S-Madhuban, District- East Champaran, Motihari, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vishal Prasad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Akbar Ali, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 16-04-2024 Heard Mr. Vishal Prasad, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Akbar Ali, learned Additional Public

Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Madhuban P.S Case No. 407 of 2023, F.I.R. dated 22.08.2023 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 272/273 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016.

3. Recovery is of 03 litres of country made liquor.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. He further submits that from perusal of the F.I.R. as well as seizure list it appears that nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the



petitioner rather recovery has been made from the house of the petitioner and the petitioner is not the exclusive owner of the house in question. There is non-compliance with mandatory procedure prescribed for recovery under Section 100 of Cr. P.C. No case, whatsoever, would be made out against the petitioner under the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner referring the provision contained in Section 76(2) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act and submitted that the pre-arrest bail would not be maintainable.

6. This court is aware of the decision of the Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019(2) P.L.J.R. 1089**. Having regard to the law laid down in the aforesaid judgment and the submission advanced on behalf of the parties, this Court, for the limited purpose of grant of anticipatory bail, is inclined to accept the submission of counsel for the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts, nothing has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner and the petitioner having clean antecedent, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court



below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order. be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Exclusive Special Excise Court No. 3, Civil Court, East Champaran at Motihari in connection with Madhuban PS. Case No. 407 of 2023, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure and with other following conditions:-

1. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

2. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

3. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order



shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of
verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

