

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18286 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-51 Year-2023 Thana- UPHARA District- Aurangabad

Bijay Chaudhary @ Vijay Chaudhary Son of Krishna Chaudhary Resident of
Village- Mai, P.S.- Rafiganj, Dist.- Aurangabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Surendra Prasad Singh
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Mohammed Arif
For the Informant	:	Mr. Akhilesh Kumae Pandey

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 02-04-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State along with learned counsel appearing
on behalf of the informant.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323,
504, 354A, 363 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code read with
Section 8 of the POCSO Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and has been falsely
implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is further
submitted that Dhananjay Chaudhary and Sonu Kumar had
moved this Court seeking anticipatory bail by filing Criminal
Miscellaneous No. 13163 of 2024 and the same was allowed by
an order dated 18.03.2024. It is next submitted that the case of
the petitioner if not akin is similar to the case of Dhananjay
Chaudhary and Sonu Kumar, as such, based on parity seeks



anticipatory bail. It is further submitted that informant is sister-in-law (*Sali*) of the petitioner.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State along with learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Upahara P.S. Case No. 51 of 2023 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

6. However, the informant would be at liberty to file an application seeking cancellation of the anticipatory bail granted to the petitioner in the event if the informant and the petitioner are not related.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

