

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2800 of 2024

Kumar Neeraj @ Kumar Niraj son of Shri Nawal Kishore Prasad Sinha,
resident of National Insurance Colony, Mahatma Gandhi Nagar, Kankarbagh,
P.O. Bahadurpur Housing Colony, P.S. Agamkuan, Diswtrict Patna-800026,
Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Principal Secretary, Department of Home Affairs Govt. of Bihar.
2. Akhilesh Kumar Jain, Chairman, Bihar State Board of Religious Trusts,
Vidyapati Marg, Patna-800001.
3. Bihar State Board of Religious Trusts, through its Chairman, Vidyapati
Marg, Patna-800001.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Rakesh Kumar Singh
For the State	:	Mr. Nadim Seraj, GP-5
		Ms. Shalini, AC to GP-5

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR
PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

7 03-12-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the
learned counsel for the Bihar State Religious Trust Board.

2. The petitioner is the Treasurer of the Trust, Shri Sai
Shiv Kripa Mandir, Kankarbagh, Patna and Captain Surendra
Prasad is Secretary of the Trust.

3. The Bihar State Religious Trust Board received some
complaints, in which, there was allegation that there were some
internal disputes between the members of the Trust Committee,
due to which, the smooth functioning of the Trust was
hampered.

4. The President, Bihar State Religious Trust Board
granted stay and stopped the Secretary and the Treasurer
(petitioner) from functioning till further orders. In place thereof,



a temporary committee was constituted and as a make shift arrangement one, Rajesh Kumar @ Bablu was assigned the work of Secretary whereas one, Rajendra Kumar was assigned the work of Treasurer of the trust committee as an alternative mechanism.

5. Later on, the petitioner and the secretary compromised their disputes and they filed a joint petition before the Board stating that they have resolved their disputes and no dispute remains against each other. Both of them also assured the Board that they would withdraw the cases filed in different courts.

6. A joint application of the petitioner and the secretary was kept on the record by the President of the Board as the matter has been resolved between the petitioner and the secretary of the Trust.

7. It is expected that the President of the Board would pass afresh order as early as possible in accordance with the resolution entered into the secretary and the Treasurer.

8. With these observation, this writ petition is disposed of.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

Guddu/-

U			
---	--	--	--

