

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18347 of 2016**

- 
1. Sunita Kumari, wife of Dhanjay Kumar Resident of Village and Post-Chandi, Police Station- Silao, District- Nalanda.
  2. Piyush Son of Sri Raj Kumar Singh Resident of Village and Post Office-Chandi, Police Station- Silao, District- Nalanda.
  3. Pramod Kumar Nirala Son of Lalo Prasad Resident of Village- Kadamtar, Post Office- Windidih, Police Station- Silao, District- Nalanda.
  4. Panni Kumari Daughter of Sri Maheshwari Prasad Singh Resident of Village and Post Office- Chandi, Police Station- Silao, District- Nalanda.
  5. Veena Kumari wife of Rajeev Sharma Resident of Village- Mohanpur, Post OfficePolice StationDistrict- Nalanda.
  6. Poonam Kumari wife of Anil Choudhary Resident of Village- Thanu Bigha, Post Office- Chandi, P.S.- Silao, District- Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The District Magistrate, Nalanda.
3. The District Education Officer, Nalanda.
4. The District Programme Officer, Nalanda.
5. The Block Education Officer, Silao, Nalanda.
6. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj Pawadih, Prakhand- Silao, Nalanda.
7. Satyendra Kumar, Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj Pawadih, Prakhand- Silao, Nalanda.
8. Kumar Mithilesh Pankaj, Son of Sri Shyam Kishore Prasad Resident of Village- Nanand, P.S.- Silao, District- Nalanda.
9. Gurjan Kumari wife of Bipin Kumar Singh Resident of Village- Chamdimah, P.S.- Silao, District- Nalanda.

... .. Respondent/s

---

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Hansraj  
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Rajeev Ranjan, AC to GP 20

---

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

- 3      16-05-2024      1. Heard learned Counsel for the parties concerned.
2. The petitioners have filed the present writ application for



quashing the order, dated 28.03.2016, passed, in Appeal No. 24 of 2014, by the District Appellate Authority, Nalanda (Bihar Sharif).

- 3. Learned Counsel for the State submits that the petitioners, without approaching the State Appellate Authority, have approached this Court.
- 4. In view of the fact that the petitioners have directly approached this Court without approaching the State Appellate Authority, this writ application is disposed with liberty to the petitioners to approach the State Appellate Authority for redressal of their grievance.
- 5. It is made clear that if an appeal is filed by the petitioners within a period of four weeks from today, along with a petition for condonation of delay, the State Appellate Authority shall consider the same and pass a reasoned order in accordance with law.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J.)

Prabhakar Anand/-

|   |   |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
| U | √ |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|

