

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10227 of 2018

=====

Sulekha Kumari wife of Amarendra Yadav, Resident of Village- Bhelwa Ward No. 4, P.S.- Gamhariya, District- Madhepura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The District Magistrate, Madhepura.
3. The Director, ICDS, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Block Development Officer, Gamahriya, Madhepura.
5. The Block Child Development Officer, Gamahriya, Madhepura.
6. Ranju Kumari wife of Rajdev Yadav, Resident of Village- Bhelwa Ward No. 4, P.S.- Gamhariya, District- Madhepura.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bidhanesh Misra, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Gyan Prakash Ojha -GA-7

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 06-08-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

2. The petitioner has filed the present writ petition for quashing the selection of Ranju Kumari (Respondent No.6) as Aaganwadi Sevika in Panchayat Bhelwa, Ward No. 4 Mini Aaganwadi Kendra No. 72 and select the petitioner as Aaganwadi Sevika in Panchayat Bhelwa, Ward No. 4 Mini Aaganwadi Kendra No. 72.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is aggrieved by the order dated 28.08.2017 passed in Aaganwadi Appeal No. 9 of 2016 by the Collector-cum-District



Magistrate, Madhepura.

4. Learned counsel for the State submits that in the said appeal, the petitioner is not the party, and in compliance of the order passed in the appeal fresh advertisement has been made. As such, the petitioner has only remedy to challenge the appointment of the private respondent according to the relevant guidelines for the appointment of Anganwadi Sevika-Sahayika.

5. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed off with a liberty to the petitioner to avail the appropriate remedy under the relevant provisions mentioned in the guideline for the appointment of Anganbari Sevika-Sahayika.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Ashwini/-

U			
---	--	--	--

