

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18236 of 2016

-
1. Nand Kumar Yadav and Anr Son of Late Laxman Yadav, Resident of Village- Sowan, Police Station- Krishna Brahm in the district of Buxar.
 2. Vikash Kumar Singh, Son of Uday Narayan Singh, Resident of Village- Sowan, Police Station- Krishna Brahm in the district of Buxar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Animal Husbandry and Fishries Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Dairy Development Directorate, Animal Husbandry and Fishries Department, Government o
4. The District Dairy Development Officer, Patna.
5. The District Dairy Development Officer, Buxar.
6. The Branch Manager, Bank of India, Sowan Branch in the District of Buxar.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sunil Kumar
	:	Mr. Navin Sharma
For the Respondent/s 1-5	:	Mr. Sajid Salim Khan- Sc25
	:	Mr. A. Khan AC to SC-25
For the R. No. 6	:	Mr. Nishi Nath Ojha
	:	Mr. S.K. Sharma

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. G. ANUPAMA CHAKRAVARTHY

ORAL ORDER

6 30-07-2024 The matter is coming up for hearing since 21.06.2024. The Learned counsel for the respondents reported to the Court that the matter has become infructuous in view of the scheme closed in the year 2015. Inspite of it, at the instance of the Learned counsel for the petitioner, the matter was directed to be posted on 24.06.2024, on that day, there was no representation for the petitioner and for giving a fair



opportunity to the petitioner as a last indulgence the matter was directed to be listed on 25.06.2024. On 29.07.2024, the Learned counsel for the respondents sought time for verifying facts of the case and the matter was directed to be listed on 30.07.2024.

2. Today, the Learned counsel for the petitioner further seeks for an adjournment but the Learned counsel for the respondents again submitted that the matter has become infructuous vide Annexure-1 page 10 itself. Therefore, the question of granting more time in this matter has been rejected.

3. Accordingly, the Writ petition dismissed for default and for non-prosecution.

(G. Anupama Chakravarthy, J)

manishkumar/-

U			
---	--	--	--

