

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15626 of 2016**

=====

Irfan Ahmad Son of Sultan Ahmad Resident of Pipraulia, Police Station  
Jhanjharpur, District - Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union Of India
2. The Chairman, National Highway Authority of India Section - 10/11  
Dwarka, New Delhi
3. The Project Director, National Highway Authority of India, H/o Shri S.N.  
Jha, Ward No. 10 Professor
4. The District Magistrate, Madhubani
5. The District Land Acquisition Officer, Madhubani
6. The Block Development officer, Block - Jhanjharpur, District Madhubani

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                                                        |
|----------------------|---|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. N.K. Agrawal, Sr. Advocate<br>Mr.Lakshmindra Kumar Yadav, Advocate |
| For the Respondent/s | : | Mr.Raj Kishore Roy-GP-18                                               |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      08-04-2024                      Heard Mr. N.K. Agrawal, learned Senior counsel  
  
                                                 appearing on behalf of the petitioner   and learned counsel for  
  
                                                 the State.

2. The present writ petition has been preferred for:

*“a MANDAMUS to commanding the  
respondents   the make payment of compensation  
amount alongwith interest under the residential  
category of house   of the petitioner. Khesra  
No.5434, Mauza- Nanaur, Panchayat Pipraulia,  
Block- Jhanjharpur, District- Madhubani which  
has been acquired and work of widening and  
strengthening National Highway-57 at concerned*



*place has already been completed but the compensation amount of the land has not been made.”*

3. A counter affidavit on behalf of Respondent nos. 1 to 3 is on record.

4. Mr. Agrawal, learned Senior Counsel submits that instead of getting another adjournment for filing reply to the counter affidavit, the petitioner seeks liberty to approach the appropriate authority with representation along with all the relevant documents within a period of four weeks from today.

5. If such representation is filed before the competent/appropriate authority within a period of four weeks, the same shall be taken to its logical conclusion within a period of three weeks thereafter.

6. The writ petition stands disposed of with the aforesaid observation.

**(Rajiv Roy, J)**

Jagdish/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

