

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4729 of 2024

=====

Deepika Kumari Wife of Sri Santosh Kumar, Resident of Village - Mahua,
Ward No. 02, P.O. Hariyahi, P.S. - Nirmali, District - Supaul.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, the Directorate of I.C.D.S., Bihar, Patna.
3. The Commissioner, Koshi Division, Saharsa.
4. The District Magistrate, Supaul.
5. The District Program Officer (I.C.D.S.), Supaul.
6. The Child Development Project Officer, Nirmali, District - Supaul.
7. Archana Kumari, Wife of Rabindra Kumar, Resident of Village Mauha, P.O. Hariyahi, P.S. Nirmali, District - Supaul.
8. Sulochana Devi, Wife of Birendra Kumar Yadav, Resident of Village-Mauha, P.O. - Hariyahi, P.S. - Nirmali, District - Supaul.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pranav Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Standing Counsel 5

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 04-04-2024 1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following relief(s):-

“1(I) In the nature of Certiorari for quashing of the order dated 31/10/2023 (Annexure-6) passed in the Anganwari Revision Appeal Case No.:83/2020 passed by the Respondent No.3 (The Commissioner, Koshi Division, Saharsa) whereby and where under the order dated 25/02/2020 passed in Anganwari Appeal Case No.:01/2020 by the Respondent No.5 (The District Program Officer (I.C.D.S.), Supaul) which was rejected by the Respondent No.5 has been



confirmed.

(II) In the nature of certiorari for quashing of the Order dated 25/02/2020 (Annexure-5) passed in the Anganwari Appeal Case No.:01/2020 passed by the Respondent No.5 by which the application filed by the Petitioner against the Order dated 11/12/2019 passed in Case no.:01/2019 has been rejected without considering the facts and circumstances of this case.

(III) In the nature of certiorari for quashing of the Order dated 11/12/2019 (Annexure-4) passed in the Case No.:01/2019 passed by the Respondent No.6 (The CDPO, Nirmali) by which the complaint filed by the Petitioner against the Respondent No.7 has been rejected without considering the facts and circumstances of this case.

(IV) In the nature of mandamus for directing and commanding the Respondents to cancel the Selection of the Respondent no.7 and select the Petitioner on the Post of Anganwari Sevika at Center No.92, ward No.02, Gram Panchayat-Mauha, P.S. Nirmali, District:Madhubani.”

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law, including that of filing a civil suit before the learned Civil Court having



competent jurisdiction, for redressal of her aforesaid grievances.
Liberty so sought is granted.

3. The writ petition stands disposed off as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Saurav/-

U			
---	--	--	--

