

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16784 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-678 Year-2018 Thana- KADAMKUAN District- Patna

Navin Yadav @ Navin Kumar S/O Vaiju Yadav R/O Village- Karauna, P.S-
Jehanabad (O.P- Karauna), Distt.- Jehanabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nityanand Neeraj, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Anurag Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 01-05-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with
Kadamkuan P.S. Case No. 678 of 2018 registered under
Sections 366 and 379 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per prosecution case, the petitioner enticed and took
away the daughter of the informant and got married with her.

4. Submission of learned counsel for the petitioner is that
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the
present case. Further submission is that there was love affair
between the petitioner and daughter of the informant and they
got married. The petitioner is a man of clean antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State
vehemently opposed the prayer of the petitioner by contending



that the victim was minor at the time of the alleged occurrence. It is further contended that the victim in her statement recorded under Section 164 Cr. P.C. has categorically stated that she was enticed and taken away to Gujarat by the petitioner and there she was tortured. Hence, it is not a fit case of anticipatory bail.

6. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and the statement of the victim girl, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, prayer of the petitioner is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

utkarsh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

