

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.417 of 2024

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1731 of 2023

-
1. Priya Ranjan Jha Son of Late Chitranjan Jha Resident of Village - Mou Dhaneshpur South, P.S.- Vidyapati Nagar, District- Samastipur
 2. Santosh Kumar Jha Son of Late Chitranjan Jha Resident of Village- Mou Dhaneshpur South, P.S.- Vidyapati Nagar, District- Samastipur at Present Flat No. A/22, Sangam Apartment @ FLATNA/ 022 Sangam Apartment, Ashiana Nagar, Phulwari, P.S.- Rajiv Nagar, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through Sri Chaitanya Prasad, the Principal Secretary, Water Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Sri Sushil Kumar, the Director, Land Acquisition, Department of Water Resources, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Shri Yogendra Singh, the Collector, Samastipur.
4. Arvind Kumar Jha, the Land Acquisition Officer, Samastipur.
5. Er. Rama Shankar Dwivedi, the Chief Engineer, Flood Control and Water Drainage, Water Resources Department, Samastipur.
6. Sri Ashok Kumar Ranjan, the Superintending Engineer, Flood Control Circle, Samastipur.
7. The Special Land Acquisition Officer, West Koshi Project, Darbhanga (the Petitioners came to know that the Office is closed by the Government recently hence name not made available to the Petitioners).
8. Sri Navin Kumar, the Executive Engineer, Flood Control Division, Dalsinghsarai, District- Samastipur.
9. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Flood Control Sub-Division Wajidpur, District- Samastipur.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sushil Kumar Jha, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Additional Advocate General 4

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN

ORAL ORDER

5 30-08-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

2. The State has filed a hard copy of show cause in the



Court itself.

3. As jointly, it has been submitted that the payment has been made to the petitioner in compliance of the order, as such, this MJC application has become infructuous.

4. Accordingly, this MJC application is dismissed as infructuous.

5. The petitioner shall be at liberty to raise his grievance, if he is not satisfied from the payment.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

sanjeev/-

U			
---	--	--	--

