

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3326 of 2018

=====

Urmila Devi W/o Ramchandra Sharma, Resident of Village- Dharahara, Post-
Dharahara, P.S.- Dharahara, District- Munger.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar.
2. The Principal Secretary, Land Reforms Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Collector, Munger.
4. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Munger.
5. The Circle Officer, Dharahara, Munger.
6. Tetari Devi, W/o Late Narayan Mistry, Resident of Village- Laxmi Asthan,
Uttar Tola, Dharahara, P.O.- Dharahara, P.S.- Dharahara, District- Munger.
7. Gopal Sharma, S/o Late Narayan Mistry, Resident of Village- Laxmi Asthan,
Uttar Tola, Dharahara, P.O.- Dharahara, P.S.- Dharahara, District- Munger.
8. Rajesh Sharma, S/o Late Narayan Mistry, Resident of Village- Laxmi
Asthan, Uttar Tola, Dharahara, P.O.- Dharahara, P.S.- Dharahara, District-
Munger.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None
For the Respondent/s : Mr. R.K. Roy- Gp18

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 18-11-2024 None appears on behalf of the petitioner.

2. On 11.11.2024 following order has been passed.

“None appears for the petitioner.

*2. Learned counsel for the State
submits that both parties appeared before the
District Collector, Munger in Basgit Purcha
Appeal No. 20/2013 and the present writ
petition is not maintainable as the same is
pending before the competent authority.*

3. Re-list this matter on 18.11.2024.



4. It is made clear that if petitioner fails to appear on the next date of hearing, the matter would be decided with the available records for the reasons that the present matter is pending consideration for the last about six years.”

2. Learned counsel for the State submits that he has already mentioned that appeal is pending in the court of District Collector, Munger and during pendency of the appeal the petitioner has directly approached this Court as the petitioner has no any grievance and in the light of aforesaid, the present writ petition is not maintainable.

3. Accordingly, the present writ petition stands disposed of as not maintainable.

4. However, disposal of the writ petition would not be hurdle for the petitioner to approach before appropriate authority within four weeks from the date of receipt of this order.

(Alok Kumar Pandey, J)

amitkumar/-

U			
---	--	--	--

