

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.12867 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-307 Year-2023 Thana- KALYANPUR District- Samastipur

BISHOLAL RAY @ HARIVANSH RAY S/O KAMLESHWAR RAY @
KAPILESHWAR RAY R/O VILLAGE- MUKTAPUR, P.S- MATHURAPUR
O.P., DISTT.- SAMASTIPUR.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shubhesh Pandey, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 29-02-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case in connection with Kalyanpur P.S. Case No. 307 of 2023 dated 17.10.2023 for the offence punishable u/s 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016

3. As per the prosecution case, total 1800 litres of illicit foreign liquor from the first pick-up van, 2160 litres of illicit foreign liquor from the second pick-up and 2700 litres of illicit foreign liquor was recovered from the godown of the co-accused.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this



case. No incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner is neither the driver nor the owner of the seized vehicle. His name has surfaced on the confessional statement of the co-accused. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged recovery. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. Learned counsel has submitted that no case is made out against the petitioner. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar 2019 (2) PLJR 1089. The Full Bench in the case of Ram Vinay Yadav (supra) has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the material available on record, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a



period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Samastipur in connection with Kalyanpur P.S. Case no. 307 of 2023, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Prakash/-

U			
---	--	--	--

