

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.10185 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-736 Year-2023 Thana- SHEKHPURA District- Sheikhpura

Ajeet Mahto @ Sanjiv Kumar S/O Mahendra Mahto R/O Village- Bangalipar, P.S- Sheikhpura, Distt.- Sheikhpura. At Present- Ahrapar, Sheikhpura, Permanent Address, R/O Village- Mahadeo Bigha, P.S- Kashichak, Distt.- Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The state of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bimlesh Kumar Jha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Satyendra Narayan Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 11-03-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Sheikhpura P.S Case No. 736/2023 dated 27.10.2023 registered for the offences punishable u/ss 467, 468, 471, 419 and 420 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, on 20.10.2023, the informant's mother left home and did not come back for 2-3 days. The informant along with his brothers searched and they got to know that her mother has sold a land. Later, when they went to registry office, the informant came to know that in the two sale deeds Shabnam Kumari and Rukmani Devi are the



purchasers and Raju Kumar and Dheeraj Kumar are identifier and witness respectively. The co-accused persons executed registered sale deed of the land in question personating (dummy) on behalf of his mother and brother after making forged documents.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner has one criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. The name of the petitioner has sprung up in the confessional statement of the co-accused, Dheeraj Kumar. Learned counsel has submitted that the petitioner has neither signed on the sale deed nor executed any agreement in selling the land.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the nature of allegation against the petitioner, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like



amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned,
Sheikhapura in connection with Sheikhapura P.S Case No.
736/2023, subject to conditions as laid down under section
438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

atul/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

