

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.11294 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-594 Year-2023 Thana- SONEPUR District- Saran

=====

Pramod Singh, S/o Late Ramayodhya Singh Resident of village naudihan, ps
sonepur, Distt. - Saran at Chapra

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Bindhyachal Singh, Sr. Adv. Ms. Nikita Mittal, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Sunil Kumar Pandey, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Pramod Kumar, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 22-02-2024 Heard learned senior counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Sonepur P.S. Case No. 594 of 2023, lodged on 10.07.2023 under
Sections 341, 323, 324, 307, 354, 504, 506, 34 of the Indian
Penal Code and subsequently added section 302 of the IPC.

3. As per the prosecution case, FIR has been lodged
against five named accused persons including the present
petitioner against whom there is an allegation the they have
assaulted the informant and his son and daughter in law due to
which injury has been caused and due to the said injury, Raja
Kumar died during treatment in the hospital.

4. Learned senior counsel arguing on behalf of the



petitioner submits that from bare reading of the FIR, it transpires that the allegations are in three parts. In the first part, the allegation is against the petitioner and his son that they have abused and assaulted the informant. In the meantime, the son and daughter in law of the informant reached and thereafter, three other co-accused persons other than the present petitioner have assaulted the son of the informant namely Raja Kumar (subsequently died) brutally and thereafter, in third part, a general and omnibus allegation has been alleged on all. Counsel further submits that the antecedent of the petitioner is clean and he is in custody since 25.07.2023. Counsel also submits that the charge-sheet has already been filed in this case.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Learned counsel for the informant vehemently opposes the bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner's involvement cannot be ruled out and section 34 has been added in this case that this occurrence has been caused in connivance with each other and in furtherance of common intention.

7. In the present facts and circumstances of this case and the submissions made above considering the antecedent of



the petitioner, **let the petitioner above named be granted bail,**
on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.30,000/- (Rupees Thirty
thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the
satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Saran at
Chapra in connection with Sonapur P.S. Case No. 594 of 2023,
subject to the conditions as laid down U/s 437(3) Cr.P.C.

(Dr. Anshuman, J.)

Divyansh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

