

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.11067 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-109 Year-2023 Thana- BANGARA District- Samastipur

Punit Das @ Ram Punit Das S/o Late Gena Lal Das R/o Vill - Dih Sarsauna,
P.S. - N.H. Bangra, Dist. - Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Lakshmindra Kumar Yadav

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anuj Kumar Shrivastava

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 11-03-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in
connection with N.H. Bangra P.S. Case No.109 of 2023
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148,
149, 341, 342, 323, 324, 325, 354, 427, 307, 333, 353, 114, 188
and 504 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per prosecution case, informant along with
police official proceeded from Bangra Police Station to remove
the encroachment as same was encroached by Baidyanath
Mahto at Village-Dih Sarsauna. It is alleged that petitioner and
others are said to have assaulted the police party and lady
constable as a result of which they sustained injury. It is further
alleged that petitioner and others hampered in the duty of



government officials.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence as alleged in the FIR and he has falsely been implicated in this case. Petitioner bears no criminal antecedent. He further submits that petitioner is not in any way connected with the alleged place of occurrence. It is further been submitted that several police officials were injured and their injuries were kept reserved but there is no specific allegation against the petitioner rather the same is general and omnibus in nature. From the persual of FIR itself, 24 named accused persons and 50-60 unknown persons were shown as accused from column 7. In this way, allegations against the petitioner are quite vague.

5. The learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, keeping in view clean antecedent of petitioner, argument advanced on behalf of both sides and also taking into consideration the material available on record, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.



10,000/- (rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate-1st Class, Samastipur in connection with N.H. Bangra P.S. Case No.109 of 2023, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Alok Kumar Pandey, J)

vashudha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

