

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.10805 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-354 Year-2023 Thana- RAJAOLI District- Nawada

Suresh Yadav @ Suresh Kumar S/O- Damodar Yadav R/O- Village-
Ghasakotand, P.S.- Gadhi, Dist.- Jamui (Bihar)

... .. Petitioner/S

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Krishna Deo Raj

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Umanath Mishra

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4 13-05-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Rajaoli
P.S. Case No. 354 of 2023 instituted for the offences under
Section 365 of the Indian Penal Code and later on added
Sections 364, 302, 201 and 120B of the IPC.

3. As per prosecution case, the accusation against the
accused persons including the petitioner is of being involved in
the alleged kidnapping of the husband of the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the
present case. There has been delay in lodging the FIR. Except
confession, no consistent evidence has come against the



petitioner to show his complicity in the alleged occurrence. The petitioner is in custody since 27.06.2023 and has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the application for bail and submitted that petitioner is named in the FIR. There is specific overt act of killing the deceased is against the petitioner. He further submitted that the petitioner confessed his guilt that in which manner he committed murder of the deceased, which has come in para-37 of the case diary, which corroborates the prosecution case. During investigation several witnesses have also supported the prosecution case.

6. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and considering the seriousness of the case, this court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and, as such, his prayer for bail stands rejected.

7. The trial Court is directed to conclude the trial expeditiously.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Arish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

