

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12668 of 2016**

- 
1. Smt. Kanti Devi, Wife of Jagarnath Giri @ Jagannath Saraswati.
  2. Jagarnath Giri @ Jagarnath Saraswati. Son of Late Pyare Giri both resident of Village- Chak Faridabad, P.O. Rasalpur, P.S. Jandaha, District- Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Commissioner, Tirhut Division at Muzaffarpur.
2. The Commissioner, Tirhut Division at Muzaffarpur.
3. The Additional Collector, Vaishali, Hazipur.
4. St. Shaibya Devi,, Wife of Ramchandra Giri, resident of village Rasulpur Purushottam, P.O.- Rasulpur, P.S- Jandaha, District Vaishali.
5. The Japsi Rai.
6. Suresh Rai.
7. Sanju Rai,  
All sons of Late Anuthiya Devi and
8. Shanti Devi daughter of Late Anuthiya Devi and Late Baidyanath Rai, All resident of Village- Rasupur, Purushttam, P.O- Rasulpur, P.S Jandaha, District- Vaishali.

... .. Respondent/s

---

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dev Kumar Pandey, Advocate  
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Md.Khurshid Alam-Aag12

---

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA**

**ORAL JUDGMENT**

**Date : 13-08-2024**

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned  
counsel for the respondents.

2. In the instant application, the petitioners have prayed for the following reliefs in paragraph No. 1 of the application:

*“1.That present application is for issuance  
of an appropriate writ or writs/order or*



*orders/direction or directions for setting aside the order dated 24.06.2016 (Annexure-7) passed by the Hon'ble Chairperson, Bihar Land Tribunal in B.L.T. Case No. 28/2015 whereby the B.L.T. case preferred by respondent no. 4 herein was allowed by setting aside the appellate as well as revisional order passed in preemption case of the present petitioner and further whereunder the order of D.C.L.R. rejecting the preemption case of the petitioner was also affirmed.*

3. At the outset, it is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents-State that in view of the Bihar Land Reforms (Fixation of Ceiling Area and Acquisition of Surplus Land) (Amendment) Act, 2019 read with judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Punyadeo Sharma and Ors. Versus Kamla Devi and Ors.*** reported in ***2022(1) BLJ 434 (SC)***, the instant application which arises out of pre-emption application stands abated.

4. Relevant paragraphs of the aforesaid judgment in the case of ***Punyadeo Sharma*** (supra) is quoted hereinbelow:

*“4. The question examined by the Division Bench of the High Court was whether an application for pre-emption was filed within three months of the registration as required*



*by Section 16(3) of the Act or was it required to be filed within three months of the day of execution of the sale deed i.e. 9.2.1990. However, the said question does not survive for consideration in view of the subsequent development whereby the right of pre-emption itself has been taken away by the Bihar Act No. 6 of 2019 when the Act was amended. The Amending Act reads thus:*

*"The Bihar Land Reforms (Fixation of Ceiling Area and Acquisition of Surplus Land) (Amendment) Act, 2019*

*1. Short title, Extent and Commencement. -*

*(1) This Act may be called The Bihar Land Reforms (Fixation of Ceiling Area and Acquisition of Surplus Land) (Amendment) Act, 2019.*

*(2) It shall extend to the whole of the State of Bihar.*

*(3) It shall come into force immediately.*

*2. Amendment in Section 16 of the Act, 1961.-*

*(1) Sub Section (3) of Section-16 of the said Act is hereby repealed.*

*(2) In the Section-16 of the said Act, the following new sub section-(4) shall be added:-*

*(4)(i) After the repeal of sub section-(3) of Section-16 of this Act, all cases or*



*proceedings pending before the State Government, the Board of Revenue, the Bihar Land Tribunal, the Divisional Commissioner, the Collector, the Additional Collector, the Deputy Collector Land Reforms or in any other Court, shall be deemed to be abated.*

*(ii) Pursuant to the repeal of Sub section-(3) of Section-16 of this Act, any purchase money together with a sum equal to 10% thereof. already legally deposited shall be refunded, without any interest, to the depositor.*

.....  
*7. We have heard the learned counsel for the parties and find that the right of pre-emption, after the Amending Act, abates as Sub-section 4(i) is specifically dealing with all pending proceedings before whatsoever forum. Therefore, the right of pre-emption will stand abated on and after 25.2.2019 including the proceedings which were pending before any forum.*

.....  
*...12. .... Any other Court is wide enough to include the Constitutional Courts i.e. the High Court and the Supreme Court.....*

*Thus, keeping in view the object of the Statute, purpose to be achieved and the*



*express language of the Amending Act, all proceedings of pre-emption under the Act pending before any authority under the Act or before any Court shall stand abated.*

*13. Consequently, the present appeals are allowed. The entire pre-emption proceedings stand abated. It shall be open to the respondents to withdraw 10% of the amount deposited by them in terms of Section 16 of the Act in accordance with law."* (Emphasis Supplied)

5. In view of the above, it is ordered that the instant application stands abated.

6. It is further directed that in terms of the aforesaid order, it shall be open to the pre-emptor herein to withdraw the amount deposited by him in terms of section 16 of the Act in accordance with law.

7. The application stands disposed of as having abated.

**(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)**

Pankaj/-

|                   |            |
|-------------------|------------|
| AFR/NAFR          | NAFR       |
| CAV DATE          |            |
| Uploading Date    | 17.08.2024 |
| Transmission Date |            |

