

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15296 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-320 Year-2023 Thana- SIRDALA District- Nawada

=====

Sagar Kumar S/o Manoj Kumar @ Manoj Yadav RESIDENT OF VILLAGE-
PANDEYDIH, P.S.- SIRDALA, DISTRICT- NAWADA

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Krishna Deo Raj

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Jagdhar Prasad

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 11-03-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Sirdala P.S. Case No. 320 of 2023, dated 07.08.2023 for the offences punishable under Sections 30(a), 41 of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016.

3. As per prosecution case, total 351 litres of country made liquor has been recovered from a car.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner has one criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition in which he is on bail. The name of the petitioner has transpired on the basis of confessional



statement of the apprehended co-accused, Raju Kumar. The petitioner is not the owner of the seized car. No incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, hence no case is made out against the petitioner. Learned counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of ***Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089***. The Full Bench in the case of ***Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)*** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76(2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as there is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of



the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Nawada in connection with Sirdala P.S. Case No. 320 of 2023 subject to conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Ranjeet/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

