

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8336 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-100 Year-2023 Thana- MANSI District- Khagaria

Lalan Yadav Son of late Bhupal Yadav Resident of Village- Chukti, P.S.-
Mansi, Distt.-Khagaria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Parmatma Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Aditya Narayan Singh.1, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

3 19-04-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Mansi P.S. Case No. 100/2023 (G. R. No. 1045/2023), lodged
on 07.04.2023 under Sections 341, 323, 307, 386, 387, 354, 504,
506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The prayer for bail of the petitioner has earlier been
rejected vide order dated 07.11.2023 passed in Criminal
Miscellaneous No. 70962 of 2023 granting liberty to the
petitioner to renew his prayer for bail after framing of charge.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
charge has already been framed.

5. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer
for bail and submits that the antecedent of the petitioner is not



clean as he is accused in 21 more criminal cases. He further submits that on an earlier occasion, a report with regard to the present stage of the trial had been called for.

6. In response, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it is true that there were 21 more criminal cases against the petitioner, but out of 21, in 10 he has been acquitted.

7. Upon perusal of the report of the trial Court, it appears that the trial is likely to be concluded within three months.

8. In the present facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

9. Accordingly, the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner in connection with Mansi P.S. Case No. 100/2023 (G. R. No. 1045/2023), pending before the learned Additional Sessions Judge-III, Khagaria is hereby rejected.

10. However, the trial Court is directed to conclude the trial within three months.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Ashwini/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

