

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12915 of 2017

=====

Krishna Kumar Jee Brahmchari @ Krishna Kumar resident of Village - Mau
Dhaneshpur Mirjapur, Police Station - Vidyapati Nagar, District - Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Commissioner, Darbhanga Division, Darbhanga.
3. The Deputy Collector, Samastipur.
4. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Dalsingsarai.
5. Sujit Kumar son of late Singeshwar Singh resident of village - Sahil Tajpur,
Police Station - Vidyapati Nagar, District - Samastipur.
6. Bhartendu Singh son of late Muneshwar Singh resident of village - Sahil
Tajpur, Tola Malkallipur, Police Station -Vidyapati Nagar, District -
Samastipur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Jitendra Prasad Singh, Advocate
	:	Mr. Rajeev Kumar Singh, Advocate
	:	Mr. Varun Krishna Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Manoj Kumar Sinha, AC to SC-19
	:	Mr. Ashwani Kumar Tiwari, Advocate

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 29-08-2024

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the respondents.

2. In the instant application, the petitioner has prayed
for the following reliefs:

*“1. That the petitioner craves indulgence of
this Hon'ble Court for issuance of an
appropriate writ in the nature of Certiorari
for quashing the impugned order dated*



26.05.2017 passed by learned Member Mr. K.P. Ramaiah, Member Administrative, Bihar Land Tribunal, Patna in B.L.T. Case No. 189 of 2016 with B.L.T. Case No. 190/2016. Whereby and whereunder learned Member Administrative, B.L.T., Patna was pleased to confirm the order dated 16.12.2015 passed by respondent No. 2 in Ceiling Revision No. 21/15 and 22/15 as contained in Annexure-7 who was pleased to confirm the order dated 03.08.2015 passed by learned Additional Collector, Samastipur in Ceiling Appeal No. 129/14-15 and 130/14-15 as contained in Annexure-6 and pleased to confirm the order dated 02.09.2014 passed by learned D.C.L.R., Dalsing Sarai in Land Ceiling Case No. 3/13 and 4/13 as contained in Annexure-5 under Section 16(3) of the Act.”

3. At the outset, it is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents-State that in view of the Bihar Land Reforms (Fixation of Ceiling Area and Acquisition of Surplus Land)



(Amendment) Act, 2019 read with judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Punyadeo Sharma and Ors. Versus Kamla Devi and Ors.*** reported in **2022(1) BLJ 434 (SC)**, the instant application which arises out of pre-emption application stands abated.

4. Relevant paragraphs of the aforesaid judgment in the case of ***Punyadeo Sharma*** (supra) is quoted hereinbelow:

“4. The question examined by the Division Bench of the High Court was whether an application for pre-emption was filed within three months of the registration as required by Section 16(3) of the Act or was it required to be filed within three months of the day of execution of the sale deed i.e. 9.2.1990. However, the said question does not survive for consideration in view of the subsequent development whereby the right of pre-emption itself has been taken away by the Bihar Act No. 6 of 2019 when the Act was amended. The Amending Act reads thus:

"The Bihar Land Reforms (Fixation of Ceiling Area and Acquisition of Surplus Land) (Amendment) Act, 2019

1. Short title, Extent and Commencement. -

(1) This Act may be called The Bihar Land Reforms (Fixation of Ceiling Area and



Acquisition of Surplus Land) (Amendment) Act, 2019.

(2) It shall extend to the whole of the State of Bihar.

(3) It shall come into force immediately.

2. Amendment in Section 16 of the Act, 1961.-

(1) Sub Section (3) of Section-16 of the said Act is hereby repealed.

(2) In the Section-16 of the said Act, the following new sub section-(4) shall be added:-

(4)(i) After the repeal of sub section-(3) of Section-16 of this Act, all cases or proceedings pending before the State Government, the Board of Revenue, the Bihar Land Tribunal, the Divisional Commissioner, the Collector, the Additional Collector, the Deputy Collector Land Reforms or in any other Court, shall be deemed to be abated.

(ii) Pursuant to the repeal of Sub section-(3) of Section-16 of this Act, any purchase money together with a sum equal to 10% thereof. already legally deposited shall be refunded, without any interest, to the depositor.

.....

7. We have heard the learned counsel for the parties and find that the right of pre-



emption, after the Amending Act, abates as Sub-section 4(i) is specifically dealing with all pending proceedings before whatsoever forum. Therefore, the right of pre-emption will stand abated on and after 25.2.2019 including the proceedings which were pending before any forum.

.....

...12. Any other Court is wide enough to include the Constitutional Courts i.e. the High Court and the Supreme Court.....

Thus, keeping in view the object of the Statute, purpose to be achieved and the express language of the Amending Act, all proceedings of pre-emption under the Act pending before any authority under the Act or before any Court shall stand abated.

13. Consequently, the present appeals are allowed. The entire pre-emption proceedings stand abated. It shall be open to the respondents to withdraw 10% of the amount deposited by them in terms of Section 16 of the Act in accordance with law." (Emphasis Supplied)

5. In view of the above, the instant application stands abated.

6. Since the petitioner is purchaser, if any grievance of the petitioner subsists, he has liberty to move before



appropriate forum for redressal of the same.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	02.09.2024
Transmission Date	N/A

