

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5313 of 2018

=====

Gopal Narain Singh son of Late Nageshwar Prasad Singh Resident of Mohalla - Gaurakshni Road, Nageshwar Bag, P.O. Buniyadganj, P.S. Muffasil, District - Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Gaya.
3. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Sadar Gaya, District - Gaya.
4. The Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Sadar Gaya, District Gaya.
5. The Circle Officer, Wazirganj, District - Gaya.
6. The Circle Inspector, Anchal - Wazirganj, District - Gaya.
7. The Anchal Amin, Wazirganj Block, District - Gaya.
8. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Gaya.
9. The Sub-Divisional Police Officer, Wazirganj, District - Gaya.
10. Syed Salim Ali S/o Syed Saukat Ali Resident of Village - Abgilla, P.S. - Muffasil, District - Gaya.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prem Ranjan Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Raj Kishore Roy, GP-18

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 06-05-2024 Heard the parties.

2. The present writ petition has been preferred for the grant of following relief/s:-

“That this application is being filed on behalf of the petitioner for issuance of writ in the appropriate nature for setting aside the order dated 24.8.2016 passed by the Circle Officer, Wazirganj, Gaya in Land Measurement Case No. 20/2016-17 directing



there was and thereby the Anchal Nazir for measurement of the land appertaining to Khata No. 46, Plot No. 136, measuring an area 9.03 Acres situated in Village- Bhindas, P.S.- Wazirganj, Thana No. 546 under the District of Gaya (hereinafter referred to as a land in question) as contained in Annexure-3 as well as for restraining the concerned Respondents authority from interfering the petitioner actual physical possession of the land in question in any manner whatsoever in addition to grant of any other relief/reliefs to which the petitioner may be found entitled in the eye of law on the special facts and in the circumstances of the present case.”

3. Learned State counsel submits that a bare perusal of the prayer would show that the petitioner was aggrieved in the year 2016 against the measurement order pursuant to the application of respondent No. 10. He submits that the petitioner always has the liberty to file petition alongwith requisite fee for getting the land measured, if he is dissatisfied.

4. The Court is fully satisfied with the contention put forward by the learned State counsel. If the petitioner has any doubt about the earlier measurement can always prefer a proper petition alongwith requisite fee to get it remeasured in presence



of all the concerned parties. Filing of writ petition is/or
unwarranted.

5. The present writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

