

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2439 of 2019

=====

Surendra Mahto, S/o Late Balo Mahto, R/o village Rosera, Panjiar Toli ,PS
Rosera, District Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Principal Secretary,Rural Development Department Bihar,Patna
3. The Under Secretary, Rural Development Department, Bihar, Patna
4. The Commissioner MANREGA, Rural Development Department, Bihar, Patna
5. The Divisional Commissioner, Tirhut Division, Muzaffarpur
6. The District Magistrate-Cum-District Program Coordinator, MANREGA Muzaffarpur
7. The Deputy Development Commissioner-Cum-Addl. District Program Coordinator, MANREGA, Muzaffarpur
8. The Director, Accounts, Administration and Employment, District Rural Development Agency, Muzaffarpur
9. The Block development Officer, Kudhani Block, District Muzaffarpur
10. The Block Program Officer, MANREGA, Kudhani Block, District Muzaffarpur

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Vikash Kumar, SC-11

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

5 12-11-2024 Heard the parties.

2. At the outset, learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that during the pendency of writ petition the grievance of the petitioner has been redressed and, as such, the matter has become infructuous.

3. Learned Advocate for the State reiterates the statements made on behalf of the petitioner by referring to the



averments made in the counter affidavit filed on behalf of
respondent nos. 1 to 4.

4. In view of the aforesaid facts the writ petition
stands closed, having become infructuous.

(Harish Kumar, J)

supratim/-

U			
---	--	--	--

