

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3047 of 2019

=====

Shanti Kumari Chandan Shah R/O- Village- Sagrath, P.S. Kadawa, Katihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
2. The Principal Secretary Social Welfare Department, Govt. of Bihar, patna
3. The Commissioner Purnea Division, Purnea
4. The District Magistrate Katihar
5. The Deputy Development Commissioner Katihar
6. District Programme Officer Katihar
7. The Block Development Officer Kadwa Block , Katihar
8. The Child Development Project Officer Kadwa Block, Katihar
9. Dolly Rani Viswash Surendra Vishwash R/o- Village-Naya Tola, P.O.Sagarath, P.S- Kadwa, Dist- Katihar

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	None
For the State	:	Smt. Kumari Amrita (GP-3)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

3 23-07-2024 Upon repeated calls, no one appears on behalf of the petitioner. However, learned counsel for the State is present.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for quashing the order dated 15.01.2018 passed by the District Programme Officer, Katihar (annexed as Annexure-5) and to reinstate the petitioner as Anganbari Sevika at Center No.29, Ward No.9, Block-Kadwa, District- Katihar.

3. Learned counsel for the State submits that the present writ petition has been filed against the order of issuance



of notice for filing the stand of the petitioner before District Programme Officer, Katihar. Counsel further submits that from Annexure-9, it transpires that the petitioner has already been removed from the post.

4. In this background, it transpires to this Court that on the one hand, *vide* Annexure-5, issuance of notice for filing reply for cancellation of appointment has been challenged and on the other hand, *vide* Annexure-9, the petitioner has already been removed from the post of Anganbari Sevika at Center No.29, Block-Kadwa, District- Katihar.

5. As such, since the order of termination has already been made, hence, the writ petition challenging the notice in the removal proceeding has become infructuous. Therefore, the present writ petition stands dismissed as infructuous.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Divyansh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

