

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7978 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-195 Year-2015 Thana- PATAHI District- East Champaran

Raushan Singh S/o Chandrakesh Singh @ Chandraket Singh @ Chandan  
Singh R/o Vill - Delho, P.S. - Madhuban, Dist. - East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bal Govind Sharma

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Amitesh Kumar

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN**  
**ORAL ORDER**

6      13-09-2024                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and  
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for  
the offence punishable under Sections 364, 302, 120(B) of the  
Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner along  
with other accused persons is said to have killed the husband of  
the informant.

4. Vide order dated 28.06.2024, a report was called  
for from the learned Court below regarding the stage of trial and  
the time likely to be taken in conclusion of the trial. In  
compliance thereof, a report dated 20.07.2024 has been sent by  
the learned Additional Sessions Judge-05th, East Champaran,  
Motihari, which is kept on record. In his report, the learned



Judge has, *inter alia*, stated the anticipated time to conclude the trial is three months.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence and has falsely been implicated in this case. He submits that the petitioner has been made accused in the present case merely on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused Amrendra Pandey. He further submits that the petitioner is languishing in custody since 20.08.2016. The petitioner has twenty four criminal antecedents as mentioned in para-3 of this application.

6. Learned APP for the State opposed the prayer for bail.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the anticipated time to conclude the trial is three months, the Court is not inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer of regular bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected in connection with Patahi P.S. Case No. 195 of 2015.

8. However, the Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

**(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)**

anand/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

