

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8555 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-118 Year-2022 Thana- DANIYAWAN District- Patna

=====

DINESH RAVIDAS @ DHANESH KUMAR Son of Manju Ravidas Resident
of Village-Kohawan, Police Station-Daniyawana, District-Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Awadhesh Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Madhuri Lata

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 04-03-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Daniyawana P.S. Case No.118/2022, registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 304(B), 201 and 34 of the
Indian Penal Code.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and being brother-
in-law (Devar) of the deceased has been falsely implicated in
the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that the
informant alleges that his sister was married to the elder brother
of the petitioner and she was being tortured for non-fulfillment
of the dowry demand. It is next alleged that the accused persons
including the petitioner for non-fulfillment of the dowry demand



killed the deceased. The learned counsel submits that the informant is not an eyewitness to the occurrence and whenever any occurrence of such nature takes place in that event the entire family members of the husband of the deceased are implicated in a mechanical manner with general, omnibus and ornamental allegation. It is further submitted that the petitioner is separate in mess and property from the husband of the deceased, who is in judicial custody. It is also submitted that the petitioner will not abscond rather will co-operate in the investigation.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court of Miss Saba Sakeel, J.M. 1st Class, Patna in connection with Daniyawan P.S. Case No.118/2022, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

6. However, it is made clear that in the event if the



Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned trial court bringing to his notice that the petitioner despite giving assurance to this court is not co-operating in the investigation, in that event the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bond of the petitioner.

7. The learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to make rectification in para-7 of the anticipatory bail application.

8. Permission is accorded.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

