

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8210 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-111 Year-2023 Thana- KARAMCHAT District- Kaimur
(Bhabua)

=====

Jai Prakash Ram S/O- Dhanraj Ram R/O- Village- Kawai, P.S.- Sonhan, Dist.-
Kaimur At Bhabua.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar Bihar, Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

with

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 8771 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-111 Year-2023 Thana- KARAMCHAT District- Kaimur
(Bhabua)

=====

Saroj Prajapati @ Saroj Kohar N.A R/O- Village- Dandwas, P.S.- Mohania,
Dist.- Kaimur At Bhabua.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar Bihar, Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 8210 of 2024)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Tribhuwan Narayan, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Uma Shankar Prasad Singh, APP

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 8771 of 2024)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Tribhuwan Narayan, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Uma Shankar Prasad Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

3 09-04-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners seek regular bail in connection with
Karamchat P.S. Case No. 111/2023 lodged on 23.11.2023 under
Sections 419, 420, 379, 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioners have



been made accused in the present case with an allegation that they convinced the informant that they used to provide loan and also work for waiving of the loan amount. Subsequently, on the pretext of doubling the money, they demanded Rupees Ten Lac from the informant and, thereafter, the informant gave him Rs.50,000/-.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. The entire allegation is false and concocted. The petitioners are in custody since 30.11.2023. The petitioner Jai Prakash Ram in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 8210 of 2024 is accused in one more criminal case, in which he is on bail whereas petitioner of Criminal Miscellaneous No. 8771 of 2024 is accused in two more criminal cases in which he has been granted bail.

5. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that from the allegation levelled in the FIR, it transpires that the petitioners are thug and used to snatch money by different means.

6. Upon specific query from the counsel for the petitioners whether the charge has been framed or not, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he/she is not aware about the fact whether the charge has been framed or not.



7. In the present facts and circumstances of this case and the submissions made above, let the petitioners above named be released on bail, **but only after two months of the framing of charge, if not framed as well as on being satisfied that the petitioner in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 8210 of 2024 is not absconding in Sonham P.S. No. 357/2023 whereas petitioner in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 8771 of 2024 is not absconding in Mohania P.S. Case No. 555/2016 and Bhabua P.S. Case No. 357/2022**, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.30,000/- (Rupees Thirty thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned ACJM,-3, Kaimur at Bhabua, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 437(3) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Ashwini/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

