

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1616 of 2022

Dr. Ramjee Prasad Singh, Son of Late Ravindranath Singh, Resident of Ward No. 26, Jay Prakash Path, Rajopathi Sitamarhi, retire from Post of Associate Professor, Department of Botony, S.R.K.G. College Sitamarhi, a constituent College of B.R. Ambedkar, Bihar University, Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Principle Secretary, Education Department Bihar, Patna.
2. The Chancellor of Universities of Bihar, Raj Bhawan, Patna.
3. The B.R. Ambedkar Bihar University Muzaffarpur through its Registrar.
4. The Vice Chancellor, B.R. Ambedkar Bihar University, Muzaffarpur.
5. The Registrar, B.R. Ambedkar Bihar University, Muzaffarpur.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Rajesh Dayal, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr. Subhash Chandra Mishra (Sc 16)
For the Respondent No.2:		Mr. Janardan Pd. Singh, Sr. Adv.
		Mr. Rajiv Ranjan Kr. Pandey, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

3 28-03-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the State and learned Senior Counsel for the respondent no. 2.

2. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following reliefs:

“For issuance of an appropriate writ quashing the Memo No. 2872 dated 23.11.2023 issued by the District Education Officer, sitamarhi, where by the petitioner has been directed to hand over charge to one Poonam Kumari, Assistant Teacher, as well as for quashing the Memo No. 17 dated 21.10.2023 issued by the Secretary, Ramdev Primary-cum-Middle Sanskrit School, Khasan, Block Riga, District Sitamarhi as well as the resolution dated 20.10.2023 taken by the Managing Committee in its meeting No. 10, whereby



the petitioner has been suspended from the post of Assistant Teacher-cum-In-charge Headmaster and during the pendency of the present writ petition the orders under challenge be stayed.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the he has already filed a detailed representation before the respondent no. 5, which is still pending.

4. Considering the above-stated fact, the respondent no. 5 is directed to consider the representation of the petitioner after affording opportunity of personal hearing or through his counsel and pass a reasoned and speaking order in accordance with law within eight weeks thereafter.

5. It is made clear that the respondent no. 5 shall be liable for non-compliance of this order within the stipulated period.

6. With the aforesaid direction, the writ application stands disposed of.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

anand/-

U			
---	--	--	--

