

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.10139 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-139 Year-2022 Thana- MANIHARI District- Katihar

NAVEEN KUMAR @ NAVEEN KUMAR MARAIYA Son of Rasik Lal
Maraiya Resident of Village-Kharaiya, P.S.-Dhamdaha, District-Purnea.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajit Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Zainul Abedin

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 29-02-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Manihari P.S. Case No.139/2022 registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 363, 366, 323 and 120(B) of the
Indian Penal Code & Section 8 of POCSO Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case, when
he is a person with clean antecedent. It is also submitted that the
victim's marriage was fixed by her parents with someone else
whom she did not like as such she on her own volition came to
the house of the petitioner and they performed marriage in a
temple but thereafter when the statement of the victim was
recorded under sections 161 and 164 Cr.P.C. she took a u-turn



and implicated the petitioner stating that she was kidnapped by the petitioner for the purposes of marriage.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that the minor has supported the case of the prosecution and has stated that she was kidnapped for the purposes of marriage.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned APP, the court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner is rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

