

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6883 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-9 Year-2023 Thana- KUDHNI District- Muzaffarpur

Ajit Kumar @ Ajeet Kumar Son of Ashok Singh Resident of Kishunpur,
Madhuban, P.S. Kudhani, District-Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Alok Kumar Alok

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ashok Kumar Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 03-04-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 304(B), 34 of the Indian
Penal Code.

3. As per F.I.R., the neice of the informant was
married with this petitioner in the year 2021 and six months
after the marriage, the petitioner alongwith his family members
started demanding a motorcycle and gold chain, as dowry, and
due to non-fulfillment of the same, they killed her by
strangulation.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this
case merely because he happens to be husband of the deceased.
Petitioner was not present at the time of occurrence and was
working in a firm namely Paper Drems Pvt.Ltd. in Bhiwadi



(Rajasthan). As a matter of fact, the deceased was in depression due to loss of *Mangalsutra* and due to which, she committed suicide by hanging herself. Petitioner never demanded any dowry or committed torture to deceased. Petitioner has surrendered on 22.07.2023 and since then, he is in custody.

5. However, learned counsel for the State vehemently opposes the bail petition and submits that petitioner is husband of the deceased and there is specific and direct allegation against petitioner that he alongwith other family members demanded dowry and due to non-fulfillment of the same, committed the murder of neice of the informant. The deceased died in an unnatural circumstances within seven years of marriage at her matrimonial home.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances as well as the fact that petitioner is husband of the deceased and there is specific and direct allegation against him that he subjected the deceased to cruelty for dowry, the prayer for bail of petitioner is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

anay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

