

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2219 of 2024

=====

Mohammad Moqueem Alam Son of Md Khurshid Alam, Resident of village-
Muslimabad (Amjhar), P.O- Haspura, P.S- Haspura, District- Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary Education Department
Government of Bihar.
2. The Director, Secondary Education, Government of Bihar.
3. The District Magistrate, Aurangabad
4. The District Education Officer, Aurangabad
5. The District Program Officer (Establishment) Aurangabad.
6. The Block Education Officer, Aurangabad.
7. The Head Master Middle School, Maula Nagar, District- Aurangabad.
8. Sri Chitranjan Kumar Gupta, Block Teacher Middle School, Maula Nagar,
District- Aurangabad.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Md. Abid Khurshid, Adv. Mr.Shakib Ayaz. Adv. Mr.Uma Kant Mishra, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Addl. Advocate General (5) Mr.Manish Kumar, AC to AAG-6(Ex)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 02-05-2024 1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the
following relief(s):-

*“1.(i) For issuance of a writ in the nature of
mandamus directing the respondent to make an
enquiry regarding functioning of respondent no- 8 in
the school in view of the fact that respondent no- 8 is
not doing the teaching work in the school and
always remain absent from the school and without
any sanctioned leave, remain absent from the school.*



(ii) For issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to enquire about the conduct of respondent no- 8 in view of the fact that he promotes hatred between the students of the school in the name of religion.

iii) For issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to comply the memo no - 597/Aurangabad dated 21.10.2019 whereby and where under Block Education Officer, Aurangabad pursuant to the letter no- 1810, dated 18.10.2019 of District Magistrate, Aurangabad has sent on deputation but he did not join the school defying the order of senior officer.”

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law, for redressal of his aforesaid grievances. Liberty so sought is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

sonal/-

U			
---	--	--	--

